

Letters: People can see climate change fraud

Las Cruces Sun-News Published 2:40 p.m. MT Aug. 8, 2017

People can see for themselves climate fraud

My 15 July Sun-News letter described how individuals can see for themselves fraud committed by NASA-GISS in “gistemp’s” graph, showing 1980s temperature the same as 1940. The steep temperature drop from the 1940s to the mid-1970s described widely in the technical and popular literature has seemingly been wiped from history. I mentioned specific references, but published facts available from Internet search have bypassed writers Robert O’Brien and G Thomas Farmer, PhD, the latter writing an emotional and name-calling diatribe in his letter of 28 July.

Let’s look at some other data to observe the steep mid-20th century temperature fall.

Monthly Weather Review’s June, 1978, article by Angell and Korshover, from NOAA’S Air Resources Laboratory, shows Northern Hemisphere, Southern Hemisphere and global steep temperature falls from the surface to 53,000 feet. The Journal of Atmospheric Sciences shows steep temperature falls beginning about 1940 and into the mid-1970s.

The 28 August 1981 edition of SCIENCE article, “Climate Impact of Increasing Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide,” shows a “tooth-shaped” temperature distribution over most of the Northern Hemisphere from 1920 to the 1970s

I cited the 8 August 1974 article by Harold Schmeck in the New York Times, “Climate Changes Endanger Food Output,” and, same paper, 21 May 1975, by Walter Sullivan “Major Cooling May be Ahead.”

So, is PhD Farmer denying the existence of these technical publications? Is Dr Farmer saying that the Times is the publisher of fake news?

If people actually look for the publications I’ve listed, they can see for themselves the widespread fraud committed by NASA-GISS. No emotion needed, just facts.

Robert W. Endlich

Las Cruces

Citing articles in not proof; data needed

I find myself getting an education on global warming two ways: Learning where to find valid information and cringing as others attribute views to me I did not state.

Both Messrs. Endlich and Tofsted are firm in their views on global warming. Me, too, and I am interested in learning more; however I would appreciate the discussion remain factual.

In today's letter, Endlich stated, "Two recent letters have praised the NASA-GISS temperatures as evidence of human caused CO2-fueled global warming and touted its authenticity." If he is referring to my letters, he errs. I cited the NASA-GISS graphs as a reference and made no claims "of human caused CO2-fueled global warming."

He also made a point of the noting the 1940-1980 temperatures being about the same, which they are; however, he does not note the rise during WWII and fall after. Further, from 1980 to date the temperature rise has been about 0.8 degrees C or 1.8 degrees F. It puzzles me that he dismisses the NASA-GISS data then uses it to support his argument.

Also of interest is the sharp rise in land-ocean temperature from 1937 to 1942 and a subsequent fall from 1942 to 1948 which I wonder is coincident with WWII.

This is an important issue. My interest is in having access to the relevant data. If the NASA-GISS data is "fraudulent" I would appreciate being directed to current valid information.

Citing articles is not proof. Accurate data is the necessary basis for valuation. So far, the NASA-GISS Land-Ocean Temperature Index is the most reliable source of historic data I have found. It's important to include the oceanic data because 70 percent of the Earth is covered by water and its temperature rise increases evaporation, hence precipitation, while its decline has the opposite effect.

Gordon Hill

Las Cruces



Robert Endlich ·
Advanced Weather Officer at USAF Retired

Several letter writers cite the NASA GISS gistemp data as the most reliable source of temperature data. The gistemp data set is here:

<https://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/graphs/>

Scroll down to the third graphic and you will see that the 1940 and 1980 temperatures are the same. Now look at James Hansen et al <note that they are NASA employees> temperature curve for the Northern Hemisphere as published in SCIENCE, August, 1981, here:

https://atmos.washington.edu/.../Hansen81_CO2_Impact.pdf

Scroll down to Figure 3 and observe the topmost temperature graph, which shows the "tooth-

shaped" temperature for the Northern Hemisphere; notice the prominent mid-20th century cooling in the data.

This prominent cooling is described in numerous articles from the time which is available for you to peruse if you visit our group web site; the URL is:

<http://cdn.casf.diskstation.me/.../Fraud-in-Global...>

Scroll to the last graphic and observe the massive changes in the data that NASA's people have made.

This is fraud, plain and simple.

The warming from 1900-1940 occurred when total CO2 output from fossil fuel use was only 1GT Carbon/year.

In the post WW2 boom, temperatures fell, yet CO2 output from fossil fuel use increased drastically. The late 20th Century warming had the same rate of rise of temperature as 1900-1940, but CO2 output from use of fossil fuels had increased to 10 GT Carbon/ year.

These data are the real inconvenient truth.

What were the Alarmists to do?

It's obvious, they changed the data.

I describe this fraud in my presentation of early 2017; it is also posted on our web site at

<http://cdn.casf.diskstation.me/.../Temperature-adjustment...>

Note it is not just NASA, it is also the American Meteorological Society and Wikipedia who are in on the fraud.

All you have to do is just look at the PDFs on our web page to see the fraud.

ROBERT W. ENDLICH