


Climate Short

The IPCC's Deliberate Deceptions



Bob Endlich

bendlich@msn.com


12 Sep 2017

Weather, Climate and Climate Change—What the Data Say


IPCC creates artificial acceleration by calculating short term linear trends within cyclical data. Below:

Fig. 3.1, Chapter 3, IPCC AR4 2007 report, which states,
“Note that for shorter recent periods, the slope is greater, indicating accelerated warming.” <underlining added>

[<http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/wg1/ar4-wg1-chapter3.pdf>]



See for yourself:
as the period
gets shorter,
rate of rise of
temperature
increases.




**“Now,
the Rest of the Story”**

**Temperatures ceased
Rising and by many
measures was falling
from ~1997, until the
2015-2016 El Nino
for about 19 years.**

**The IPCC graph
is deliberately
deceptive.**

**This is not science.
It is political activism.**



1995 Madrid Meeting of IPCC: “No attribution of climate change to changes in greenhouse gas concentrations.”


1966 Second Assessment report: “...these results point towards a human influence on global climate.” Changes made by Ben Santer of LLNL
Singlehandedly, literally in the dark without coordination with the Madrid’s Panel of Scientists.

These are the data showing
The “Discernible Human
Influence” on climate


<http://www.john-daly.com/sonde.htm>

The Rest of the Story!
Santer’s deliberate deception:
He’s using a cherry picked
Subset of the whole record,
Which shows COOLING!

The observed radio sonde record chosen by Santer *et al* for comparison with the models -




But this is what the whole record looks like -



It's the same data source, except the lower graph shows the full time period available.

Santer used ONLY the circled section of the data to claim the “balance of evidence suggests a discernible human influence on global climate,”

This claim is supposedly based on 130 peer-reviewed articles. Actually, **the conclusion is based mainly on two research papers by (lead author(!)) Santer**, neither one of which had been published at the time the chapter was under review.




This is not Science.

This is Dishonesty.

**This is Cheating,
of the highest order.**

Figure 1. Temperature history from a crucial portion of the atmosphere, 1958–1995. For some reason, in the important paper “demonstrating” climate change, Ben Santer and colleagues only used the portion of the data circled here.

AR5 Second Draft Figure 1.5
With Annotations



IPCC AR5 Second Order Draft, Fig 1.5. Red + marks are AR4 uncertainty Bounds. Red dots show last two years of observations clearly outside AR4's uncertainty bounds. This figure never made it to IPCC AR5 Final Report.